

# EXHIBIT 2

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

ZapFraud, Inc.

Plaintiff,

v.

Barracuda Networks, Inc.  
Barracuda Holdings, LLC

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 19-cv-1687-MN

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff ZapFraud, Inc. (“ZapFraud”), for its Complaint against defendants Barracuda Networks, Inc. and Barracuda Holdings, LLC (collectively “Defendants” or “Barracuda”), hereby alleges as follows:

**Introduction**

1. Zapfraud is a technology company founded by leading email security researcher Dr. Bjorn Markus Jakobsson. Zapfraud innovates in the area of email security and provides email security solutions. Among other things, Zapfraud’s patented technology automatically and reliably identifies threats to email including Business Email Compromise scams—a growing threat that has caused a total of over \$12.5 billion of global reported losses as of 2018—and protects businesses and their employees against email-based deception and fraud attacks.

2. Barracuda has used, and continues to use ZapFraud’s patented technology.

**Nature Of The Action**

3. This action arises under 35 U.S.C. § 271 for Barracuda’s infringement of ZapFraud’s United States Patent No. 10,277,628 (“the ’628 patent”).

**The Parties**

4. Plaintiff ZapFraud is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 118 Ramona Rd, Portola Valley, CA 94028. ZapFraud is operated and controlled by Dr. Jakobsson.

5. Defendant Barracuda Networks, Inc. (“Barracuda Networks”) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 3175 Winchester Blvd, Campbell, CA 95008. Barracuda Networks may be served with process through its registered agent, Corporation Service Company at 251 Little Falls Drive, Wilmington, DE 19808.

6. Barracuda Networks provides on premise as well as cloud-based email security solutions. Those solutions use and benefit from Dr. Jakobsson’s patented technology, including the ’628 patent.

7. Defendant Barracuda Holdings, LLC (“Barracuda Holdings”) is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business at 3175 Winchester Blvd, Campbell, CA 95008. Barracuda Holdings may be served with process through its registered agent, Corporation Service Company at 251 Little Falls Drive, Wilmington, DE 19808.

8. Barracuda Holdings wholly owns Barracuda Networks, and controls the operation and management of Barracuda Networks.

9. Barracuda Holdings is controlled by investment funds affiliated with Thoma Bravo, LLC, and is beneficially owned by such funds and certain other investors.

**Jurisdiction And Venue**

10. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

11. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), and 1400(b), because, among other things: Defendants are incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware; Defendants have committed, aided, abetted, contributed to, and/or participated in the commission of acts giving rise to this action within the State of Delaware and this judicial district and has established minimum contacts within the forum such that the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendants would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice; Defendants have placed products and services that practice the claims of the '628 patent into the stream of commerce with the reasonable expectation or knowledge that actual or potential users of such products or services were located within this judicial district; and Defendants have sold, advertised, solicited customers, and marketed and distributed its products and services that practice the claims of the '628 patent in this judicial district.

#### **The '628 Patent**

12. ZapFraud incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

13. The '628 patent, entitled "Detecting Phishing Attempts," was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on April 30, 2019 and corrected on October 8, 2019. A copy of the '628 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Dr. Jakobsson is the sole inventor of the '628 patent.

14. ZapFraud is the exclusive owner of all rights, title, and interest of the '628 patent, and has the right to bring this suit for injunctive relief and to recover damages for any current or past infringement of the '628 patent.

#### **Background Facts**

15. Dr. Jakobsson founded ZapFraud in 2014.

16. ZapFraud pioneered the detection of Business Email Compromise scams through automated analysis of deceptive content and structure, and takes actions to, for example, quarantine, discard, tag, or deliver the incoming emails.

17. Dr. Jakobsson is and has been a frequent speaker on email fraud prevention, including on ZapFraud's fraud detection technology at industry events and conferences, such as RSA Conference 2016, Black Hat USA 2015, and RSA Conference 2014.

18. Barracuda attends such industry events and conferences as a sponsor and/or an exhibitor. Such conferences permit attendees to learn about important developments in information and email security through first-hand interactions with peers, luminaries, and emerging and established companies. For example, Barracuda attended RSA Conference 2014, an industry conference where Dr. Jakobsson presented.

## **COUNT I**

### **Infringement Of The '628 Patent**

19. ZapFraud incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

20. The '628 patent generally relates to a system, method, and computer program for detecting fraud or phishing attempts in email communications.

21. The '628 patent is valid and enforceable.

22. At the time of the invention of the '628 patent, email services used various technologies such as whitelisting, blacklisting, Domain-based Message Authentication, Reporting & Conformance ("DMARC"), and Domain Keys Identified Mail ("DKIM") to protect email recipients from potential spam, fraud, or phishing attempts.

23. However, existing technologies could be readily defeated by unscrupulous individuals who craft spam, fraud, scam, or phishing emails. For example, the unscrupulous individual may use terms that a human would recognize, but might not appear on a blacklist. As another example, existing technologies were not capable of detecting a type of phishing-attempt emails that incorporate human-readable content indications of association of a message with an authoritative entity, and thus appear to be legitimate/trustworthy to a recipient. The degree of possible customization of electronic communications makes it particularly difficult for existing email filters to provide sufficient protection.

24. The invention of the '628 patent solves the problems with existing technologies by, for example, combining an assessment of the likely end-user interpretation of the message with an assessment of whether the apparent sender matches the actual sender, and taking action in response, such as filtering or reporting the message.

25. In violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Barracuda has infringed and/or induced others to infringe one or more claims of the '628 patent by making, using, selling, and/or offering for sale in the United States, and/or importing into the United States, products, solutions, systems, and services encompassed by those claims, including email security products and services that scan the display name of emails to identify email security threats, including, but not limited to, Barracuda Sentinel.

26. Barracuda provides email security products and services that protect customers against email-based targeted social engineering attacks known as Business Email Compromise.

27. Barracuda's email security products and services such as Barracuda Sentinel analyze attributes of incoming emails including, for example, email headers, reply-to addresses, and display names to detect and block impersonation emails.

28. For example, Barracuda infringes at least claim 1 of the '628 patent through its classification system (such as Barracuda Sentinel) for detecting attempted deception in an electronic communication (such as an incoming email), comprising:

- a. a client device (such as Barracuda Sentinel dashboard) used to access the electronic communication addressed to a user of the client device;
- b. at least one of a profile and content database (such as a Barracuda database that stores names of Barracuda customer's employees); and
- c. at least one server (such as a Barracuda Sentinel server) in communication with the client device and the at least one of the profile and content database, the at least one server comprising:
  - i. an interface configured to receive the electronic communication; and
  - ii. a set of one or more processors configured to:
    1. parse a display name associated with the electronic communication;
    2. determine, by at least one classifier component (such as an artificial intelligence engine in Barracuda Sentinel), that the electronic communication appears to have been transmitted on behalf of an authoritative entity (such as an employee of a Barracuda customer) by:
      - a. computing a similarity distance between the display name and at least a name of the authoritative entity (such as the name of the customer employee), wherein the name of the authoritative entity is retrieved from the at least one of the profile and the content database, wherein the similarity

distance is computed by comparison of items by at least one of:

- i. basing the comparison on at least one of a match between the display name of the electronic communication (such as the display name of the incoming email's sender) and the display name of the authoritative entity, and
- ii. a match between headers associated with the electronic communication (such as the header of the incoming email) and headers associated with the authoritative entity (such as the email header of the customer employee),
- iii. wherein the matches are determined by at least one of: determining that the compared items are the same, determining that the compared items have a Hamming distance below a threshold value, determining that the compared items have an edit distance below a threshold value, determining that a support vector machine indicates a similarity based on previously trained examples, determining a similarity score based on how many characters were replaced by characters of sufficient similarity and performing at least one normalization followed by a

comparison (such as by normalizing the incoming email's sender name and determining if it matches a name of a customer employee);

3. determine, by the at least one classifier component, that the electronic communication was not transmitted with authorization from the authoritative entity (such as by determining that the incoming email deviates from historical email patterns);
4. based at least in part on determining that the electronic communication appears to have been transmitted on behalf of the authoritative entity and determining that the electronic communication was not transmitted with authorization from the authoritative entity, perform a security determination including classifying the electronic communication, wherein the classifying includes two or more security classifications including good and bad (such as by determining that an incoming email is an impersonation email based on any match between sender name and a customer employee, and deviations from historical email patterns); and
5. based at least in part on the security determination resulting in a bad classification, perform an action comprising at least one of erasing the electronic communication, marking up the electronic communication at least in part by adding a warning or an explanation, flagging the electronic communication, forwarding the electronic communication to a third party, placing the electronic

communications in the spam folder, and forwarding the electronic communication to a repository (such as by quarantining the email or deleting the email); and

iii. a memory coupled to the processor and configured to provide the processor with instructions.

29. Barracuda infringes at least claim 1 of the '628 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, using, selling, and/or offering for sale in the United States, and/or importing into the United States such a classification system. For example, Barracuda makes the system by providing all the components of the system and combining the components into an infringing system. As another example, Barracuda uses the system by placing the system into service, exercising control of the system, and obtaining benefits from using the system.

30. Third parties, including Barracuda's customers and partners, have infringed, and continue to infringe, one or more claims of the '628 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, selling, and/or offering for sale in the United States, and/or importing into the United States, Barracuda's email security products and services that scan the display name of emails to identify email security threats, including, but not limited to, Barracuda Sentinel.

31. Barracuda has had knowledge of and notice of the '628 patent and its infringement since at least the filing of this Complaint.

32. Barracuda has induced infringement, and continues to induce infringement, of one or more claims of the '628 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) since at least the filing of this Complaint. Barracuda actively, knowingly, and intentionally induced, and continues to actively, knowingly, and intentionally induce, infringement of the '628 patent by selling or otherwise

supplying Barracuda email security products and services that scan the display name of emails to identify email security threats, including, but not limited to, Barracuda Sentinel, with the knowledge and intent that third parties will use, sell, and/or offer for sale in the United States, and/or import into the United States these products and services to infringe the '628 patent; and with the knowledge and intent to encourage and facilitate the infringement through the dissemination of these products and services and/or the creation and dissemination of promotional and marketing materials, supporting materials, instructions, product manuals, and/or technical information related to these products and services.

33. Barracuda has contributed to the infringement by third parties, including Barracuda's customers, and continues to contribute to infringement by third parties, of one or more claims of the '628 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) since at least the filing of this Complaint, by selling and/or offering for sale in the United States, and/or importing into the United States, Barracuda email security products and services that scan the display name of emails to identify email security threats, including, but not limited to, Barracuda Sentinel, knowing that these products and services constitute a material part of the inventions of the '628 patent, knowing that these products and services are especially made or adapted to infringe the '628 patent, and knowing that these products and services are not staple articles of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use.

34. ZapFraud has been and continues to be damaged by Barracuda's infringement of the '628 patent, and will suffer irreparable injury unless the infringement is enjoined by this Court.

35. Barracuda's infringement of the '628 patent has been and continues to be willful since at least the filing of this Complaint.

36. Barracuda's conduct in infringing the '628 patent renders this case exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285.

**Prayer For Relief**

WHEREFORE, ZapFraud prays for judgment as follows:

- A. That Barracuda has infringed the '628 patent;
- B. That Barracuda's infringement of the '628 patent has been willful;
- C. That Barracuda, its officers, agents, and employees, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, and their successors and assigns, be permanently enjoined from infringement, inducing infringement, and contributory infringement of the '628 patent, including but not limited to the making, using, selling, and/or offering for sale in the United States, and/or importing into the United States, any devices, products, software, or methods that infringe the '628 patent before its expiration date;
- D. That ZapFraud be awarded all damages adequate to compensate it for Barracuda's infringement of the '628 patent, such damages to be determined by a jury and, if necessary to adequately compensate ZapFraud for the infringement, an accounting, and that such damages be trebled and awarded to ZapFraud with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;
- E. That this case be declared an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and that ZapFraud be awarded the attorney fees, costs, and expenses incurred in connection with this action; and
- F. That ZapFraud be awarded such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

**Demand For Jury Trial**

Plaintiff ZapFraud hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: October 18, 2019

*Of Counsel:*

Jonas McDavit  
Wen Xue  
jmcdavit@desmaraisllp.com  
wxue@desmaraisllp.com  
DESMARAIS LLP  
230 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10169  
Telephone: 212-351-3400  
Facsimile: 212-351-3401

Respectfully submitted,

FARNAN LLP

/s/ Brian E. Farnan  
Brian E. Farnan (No. 4089)  
Michael J. Farnan (Bar No. 5165)  
919 North Market St., 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
Telephone: 302-777-0300  
Facsimile: 302-777-0301  
bfarnan@farnanlaw.com  
mfarnan@farnanlaw.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff ZapFraud, Inc.*